DIII-D Scenario Development and Control Research

by Chris Holcomb* for the DIII-D Team

*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Presented at the 11th ITER International School San Diego, California

July 25, 2022



Work supported by US DOE under DE-FC02-04ER54698.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



Talk Outline

- Brief view of fusion landscape & definition of scenarios & controls
- Summary of DIII-D capabilities key actuators for scenarios & controls
- Highlights of ITER Q=10 scenario development
- Highlights of steady-state scenario development
- Highlights of controls research



ITER is a Big Step on the Way to Commercial Fusion – DIII-D Research Informs ITER & Potential Pilot Plants



C. Holcomb ITER International School, 2022

ITER & Future Fusion Power Plants Will Need Operating Scenarios and Controls

- An operating scenario \equiv the objectives of control
 - E.g., Ramp up to Q=10 with 500 MW fusion power, hold it for 400 s, ramp down safely
- Control \equiv the methods of achieving the objectives
 - Uncertainty and system noise force use of feedforward & feedback control



5

Thanks to M. Walker for suggesting these definitions

DIII-D is a Mid-Sized Tokamak Known for its Flexibility, Diagnosis, & Control of High-Performance Scenarios

- R=1.67 m
- a=0.67 m
- $B_T = [-2.17 T, +2.17 T]$
- $I_P = [-2 MA, +2 MA]$
- Large enough to be relevant, small enough to be flexible







18 Field Shaping Coils Allow a Wide Range of Shapes

- Almost any shape that fits in vessel can be run at some I_P
- Upper single null, lower single null, and double null
- Elongation κ up to ~2
- Triangularity δ from –0.5 to +0.8





20 MW Neutral Beam Injection With a Mix of Injection Geometries Enables Many Things Feedforward & feedback control of:

- Plasma stored energy
- $\beta_{\rm N} = (2\mu_{\rm o} /B_{\rm T}^2)/(l/aB_{\rm T})$
- Rotation, v
- Current density, J
- NBI-based measurements
 - Motional Stark Effect (J)
 - Charge Exchange (v, T_i, n_i)
 - others





Microwave Electron Cyclotron Heating & Current Drive Provides J-Profile Control

- Several 110 GHz gyrotrons amounting to ~3 MW delivered to plasma
- 2nd harmonic X-mode: aim radially for only e-heating, or tangentially to drive local current
- Outside and top launch
- Can use to control magnetic islands





New High Harmonic Fast Wave "Helicon" 476 MHz Antenna is Installed

C. HOICOMO ITER Internotionalise

- 1.2 MW source power from klystron
- Comb-line traveling wave antenna
 - 1 input port & 1 output port, power transfer through mutual inductance
- Predicted to provide efficient off-axis current drive at mid-radius for advanced j-profile control at high β_e
- No density cut-off like ECH
- New next year: 4.6 GHz lower hybrid antenna to do a similar job





Upper & Lower Divertors Coupled to Cryopumps Enable Heat & Particle Removal

- Can pump strike points in double null (i.e., power plant?) or single null Inner pump shapes (i.e., ITER)
- Enables density control for good ECH penetration
- Active divertor research program is driving geometry changes in near future







Up to ~10 s Pulses Limited by Coils, Power Supplies, & NBI Energy Still Allows Sustaining Plasmas for Few-Many τ_R

- τ_R=current profile resistive diffusion timescale
- Important for reaching and testing equilibrium close to final "relaxed" state







Three Arrays of Non-Axisymmetric Perturbation Coils Do Many Jobs

- Error field correction
- Resistive wall mode (RWM) feedback control
- "MHD spectroscopy" for probing stability

Vessel I-coil C-coil Poloidal Field Sensor

ELM control



Hundreds of Diagnostics Enable Detailed Physics Studies & Realtime Plasma Control





DIII-D Has a Mature Plasma Control System (PCS) That is Indispensable for Scenarios Research

Now routine PCS tasks:

- Control of poloidal field coils to match target Ip, boundary shape, & strike point locations vs. time
- Realtime equilibrium reconstruction (EFIT)
- β_N feedback control using NBI
- Net torque or plasma rotation control using oppositely directed NBI
- Line-averaged density feedback control of gas valves
- Standard error field control using 3D coils
- "Dud detector": switch to plasma ramp down if MHD modes detected
- Safety interlocks: e.g. shut down ECH when density too high
- PCS supports: PID, state space, MPC, event-driven, & ML-based algorithms

Active controls research to be covered later



DIII-D PCS is a Realtime Data Acquisition & Feedback Control Tool



DIII-D is Pursuing the Development of the ITER Baseline Scenario (IBS) for Q=10 Operation

- DIII-D can approximate the ITER shape and aspect ratio with a pumped strike point for density control
- IBS design point has q₉₅=3, β_N=1.8, H₉₈=1
- MHD stability and disruption avoidance has proven to be a challenge here





Large Effort Made to Get the Discharge **Evolution Right to Maintain Stability**

Initial scans showed less stability at ITERrelevant low rotation



Jackson IAEA 2012



Found m/n=2/1 mode • stability correlated with shape of J(r)



Ramp up optimization led to stable lowtorque flattop



C. Holcomb ITER International School. 2022

Turco, Luce APS 2017

Present ITER Baseline Scenario Work Aims at More "Core-Edge Integration" Issues

- Kr in DIII-D core has same radiative loss rate as W in ITER: can mimic & test impurity impacts
- IBS can handle 20-35% radiation fraction before core cooling leads to sawtooth suppression and accumulation
- Next steps: investigate IBS with lower P/P_{L-H}, burn control, ELM control (RMP, QH)





DIII-D Pursues High- β_N Non-Inductive Scenario Development for ITER Q=5 Mission & a Fusion Pilot Plant

 A range of scenario options exists typically characterized by q- or j-profile



| | Possible Advantages | Challenges |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| High β _P | Low disruptivity, high f _{BS} , high H from ITB | RWM limits; maintain ITB at lower q ₉₅ ? |
| High q _{min} | High ideal MHD β _N -limits, high f _{BS} | q>2 tearing modes; high H w/o ITB? |
| Hybrid | Anomalous j- diffusion: q _{min} >1 | Lower f _{BS} ; high H w/o rotation? |
| High li | $\begin{array}{l} \text{High-}\beta_{N} \text{ w/o} \\ \text{wall} \\ \text{stabilization} \end{array}$ | Lower f _{BS} ; requires low pedestal |

High-q_{min} Development Goal: Add Off-Axis H&CD to Broaden J and P for Higher Expected Performance

- Sustained q_{min}~1.5, q₉₅~6.2, β_N~3.8 possible now
 - Marginally stable to 2/1 NTMs
- Addition of off-axis NBI can broaden profiles, raise β_N limits, & reduce anomalous fast-ion transport
 - Predicted n=1 kink ideal limit: $\sim 4 \rightarrow \sim 5$
- Near future: push to q_{min} >2, β_N >4 with more ECCD, Helicon, LHCD, stronger DN shaping





High-β_P Scenario Recently Made in ITER-Like Shape With Good Divertor Integration

- Neon seeded, cool detached divertor with β_N>2.5
- Small/no ELMs
- Maintains low H-mode pedestal & high-radius internal transport barrier
- No loss of confinement with detachment





C. Holcomb ITER International School, 2022

S. Ding, H. Wang, L. Wang, APS, 2021

High-β Hybrid Scenario is Being Pushed in More Reactor Relevant Directions

ITER-shaped, non-inductive,



C. Holcomb ITER International School, 2022

High-β Hybrid Scenario is Being Pushed in More Reactor Relevant Directions

ITER-shaped, non-inductive, Reducing torque at fixed



24



High-β Hybrid Scenario is Being Pushed in More Reactor Relevant Directions

ITER-shaped, non-inductive, Reducing torque at fixed





Above power threshold, increasing density increases pedestal & H₉₈



Turco, APS, 2020

DIII-D Plasma Controls Research Aims to Support DIII-D Physics Research, & Provide Control Solutions for ITER

- Simultaneous real-time control of multiple plasma profiles, e.g., q and $\rm T_e$
- Control of proximity to stability & controllability boundaries
- Asynchronous off-normal & fault response to prevent disruptions
- Feedback control of D₂ and impurity puffing for radiated power & divertor detachment control



Simultaneous Control of Profiles & 0D Quantities is an Active Research Topic With Several Possible Approaches

- Example useful for scenario development: q(r)+W_{total}
- Goal: achieve target q(r) & W at t=3 s regardless of initial conditions
- Control NBI, ECH, I_P waveforms
- 1st principles-driven model solves 1D ψ_P diffusion eq., models n(r) & T(r) evolution, & solves 0D power balance eq.
- Feedforward + modelpredictive feedback control





Proximity Control & Asynchronous Response Are Key Parts of DIII-D's Disruption Prevention Strategy



- 1. Continuous Prevention:
 - Regulate proximity to stability/controllability limit
 - Should prevent 99%+ of disruptions!

2. Asynchronous Response:

- Detect state-change, do something different, e.g:
- Try to suppress tearing mode with ECCD, or
- Temporarily de-rate scenario, then return

3. Emergency Avoidance:

- Go to rapid controlled shutdown (large piggyback study on DIII-D)
- Fire disruption mitigation system as a last resort



A Proximity-to-Instability Control Architecture is in Place & Being Tested

- Determine proximity from models
- Modify parameter(s) to regulate proximity



29



C. Holcomb ITER International School, 2022

Barr, ITER TM Disr. & Mit., 2020

Example: Real-time VDE-γ Estimator Enabled Robust VDE Avoidance By Controlling Plasma Shape

• VDE reliably prevented until Proximity Controller disabled

- Example: (red) pre-shot κ -target ramp to induce VDE (blue) Prox. control when γ >threshold: reduces κ , inner-gap



Example of Asynchronous Response to a Sequence of Events Starting With a Tearing Mode:

- 1. n=1 NTM detected: apply ECCD to suppress
- 2. Density exceeds ECCD cut-off limit: turn off ECCD
- 3. NTM locks to wall & density falls: apply 3D coils to rotate island and fire ECCD to reduce it
- 4. Mode disappears: Turn ECCD & 3D coil off
- 5. Locked mode comes back: Turn 3D coil back on, ramp down lp



31



Eidietis, NF, 2018

Realtime Detachment Control is Important for Protecting Divertor While Maintaining High Performance Core

- Attached ≡ high heat & particle flux at divertor plate → possible damage
- Detached \equiv fluxes dissipated away from plate in plasma; surface T_e < ~1 eV
- Langmuir probes measure ion saturation current to infer detachment
 - Attached: $J_{SAT} \propto \langle n_e \rangle^2$
 - At start of detachment J_{SAT} "rolls over" with $\langle n_e \rangle$
 - Deep detachment characterized by $J_{SAT} \rightarrow 0$





Feedback Control of Detachment Targets Using PID Loop Between Langmuir Probe & N₂ Gas Puff Demonstrated





33

Future Looking: DIII-D Plans Several Upgrades to Expand Scenarios and Controls Research

Raise B_T to 2.5 T





Raise P_{NBI} to 25 MW & P_{ECH} to 14 MW



New divertor geometries for better core-edge integration



PCS upgrade & offline duplicate to mimic ITER constraints & develop algorithms



New power supplies to emulate ITER PF coil control





Summary and Final Thoughts

- Actuators, diagnostics, and PCS make DIII-D a flexible tokamak for scenario and control research
- Key focus areas include ITER support, advanced scenario development, and core-edge integration
- DIII-D is a great facility for earlycareer scenario & controls experts to hone their skills while contributing to ITER & future fusion endeavors



